

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/825,541	Applicant(s) GIBSON ET AL.	
	Examiner NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH	Art Unit 2619	

All Participants:

(1) NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH.

(2) WILLIAM M. LEE Jr..

Date of Interview: 14 March 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 0900

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

60 and 71

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An agreement between the Applicant and the Examiner was reached to correct a typo in claim 60 and addition of phrase -- executed by a computer-- right after "the computer program" in claim 70 line 5, via attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)